ORIGINAL

Before The FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In re Application of) CC Docket No. 94-136	
ELLIS THOMPSON CORPORATION) File No. 14261-CL-P-134-A-86)	
For facilities in the Domestic Public Cellular Telecommunications Radio Service on Frequency Block A, in Market 134, Atlantic City, New Jersey	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL	
To: Honorable Joseph Chachkin Administrative Law Judge		

JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY DECISION

EXHIBITS

VOLUME II

LIST OF EXHIBITS

DEPOSITIONS

Deponent	Date Taken	<u>Tab</u>
Ray E. Dombroski Vice President of Engineering and New Technology for Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc.	04/26/95	1
Anna E. Hillman Sr. Vice President of Finance & Administration for Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc.	04/25/95	2
David A. Lokting Thompson's Attorney and Business Advisor	05/11/95	3
John Moerman General Manager - Wilmington/Atlantic City Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc.	04/26/95	4
Charles R. Moir Vice President of Amcell Operations for Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc.	04/28/95	5
Mark A. Panetta Vice President of Finance for Comcast Metrophone	04/28/95	6
Michael W. Riley Thompson's Expert Witness on Cellular Industry	05/15/95	7
Robert Barry Sauder Controller of Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc.	04/28/95	8

Deponent	Date Taken	<u>Tab</u>
Jeffrey E. Smith Vice President and General Counsel of Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc.	04/25/95	9
Ellis Thompson Applicant/ Owner of Ellis Thompson Corporation	05/12/95	10
Christine Van Horne Assistant Treasurer of Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc.	04/27/95	11
Dominic C. Villecco Vice President of Wireless Engineering for Comcast International Holdings	04/27/95	12
David N. Watson Sr. Vice President of Marketing & Sales for Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc.	04/26/95	13
DOCUMENTS		
Cellular Management Services, Inc. Cellular Settl	ement Agreement	14
Thompson/Amcell Exercise Agreement		15
Thompson/Amcell Indemnity Agreement, as amended		16
Declaration of Ellis Thompson		

•

Page	of	
_		

ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF:

Notice Date: 05/01/95

Case Name: In Re: Ellis Thompson Corporation

Case Number: 14261-CL-P-134-A-86

Dep. Date: 04/28/95
Deponent: Mark Panetta
Place: Washington, D.C.

CORRECTIONS:

<u>Page</u>	Line	Now Reads	Should Read	Reasons <u>Therefore</u>
10	22-24	It was more efficient to run all systems, all systems saved money in the consolidation.	It was more efficient to run all systems centrally, all systems saved money in the consolidation.	Clarification
11	17	I wasn't directly in direct discussions with Ellis.	I wasn't directly in- volved in the direct discussions with Ellis.	Clarification
11	20-21	consolidation was done and I believe the president at the time, Joe Grenuk.	consolidation was done as did the president at the time, and I believe that was Joe Grenuk.	Clarification

Page	of	

ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF:

Notice Date:

05/01/95

Case Name:

In Re: Ellis Thompson Corporation

Case Number:

14261-CL-P-134-A-86

Dep. Date:

04/28/95

Deponent: Place:

Mark Panetta Washington, D.C.

CORRECTIONS:

Page	Line	Now Reads	Should Read	Reasons Therefore
18	11	it's either 1,000 or 5,000 through the six or	it's either 1,000 or 5,000. Through the six	Clarification

Signature of Deponent

6/27/95

Date of Signature

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
2	WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
3	CC DOCKET NO. 94-136
4.	X
5	In re Application of :
6	ELLIS THOMPSON : File No.
7	CORPORATION : 14261-CL-P-134-A-86
8	X
9	Washington, D.C.
10	Friday, April 28, 1995
11	Deposition of MARK A. PANETTA, a
12	witness herein, called for examination by counsel
13	for Federal Communications Commission in the
14	above-entitled matter, pursuant to agreement, the
15	witness being duly sworn by JAN A. WILLIAMS, a
16	Notary Public in and for the District of
17	Columbia, taken at the offices of Gurman, Kurtis,
18	Blask & Freedman, Suite 500, 1400 16th Street,
19	N.W., Washington, D.C., 20036, at 10:55 a.m.,
20	Friday, April 28, 1995, and the proceedings being
21	taken down by Stenotype by JAN A. WILLIAMS and
22	transcribed under her direction.
23	
24	
25	

2	Q. How is it different?
3	A. It is mainly a sales division And the
4	functions that I performed there were
5	consolidated into the Wilmington office.
6	Q. What was your business address when you
7	were controller of the Wilmington division?
. 8	A. I was never the controller for the
9	Wilmington division.
10	Q. I'm sorry, I mean the Atlantic City
11	division.
12	A. The Bayport One building, I can't
13	remember the actual suite.
14	'Q. That's fine. What city is that in?
15	A. That was in West Atlantic City.
16	Q. Is there anything there now currently?
17	A. In the Bayport building?
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. I don't believe so.
20	Q. Why were functions consolidated in
21	Wilmington? CENTRALLY
22	A. It was more efficient to run all
23	systems all systems saved money in the
24	consolidation. We were able to reduce the need
25	for a full-time controller and reduce the need

though, than the division when I worked at it.

- for certain levels of staffing in customer
- 2 service and in finance. So everybody benefited
- 3 by reduced overhead.
- Q. Did other systems consolidate into
- 5 Wilmington as well?
- A. There are other systems run and managed
- 7 by the Wilmington division, but none were
- 8 consolidated into Wilmington.
- 9 O. Was this consolidation anything that
- would have had to have been run through Ellis
- 11 Thompson?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. To your knowledge did he approve of
- 14 this?
- 15 A. Yes.
- . Yes. The THE
- Q. Do you know what he said about it?
- 17 A. I wasn't directly in direct discussions
- 18 with Ellis. My indirect supervisor, Anna
- 19 Hillman, had to receive approval before any
- 20 consolidation was done and I believe the
- 21 president at the time, Joe Grenuk. Jun I BELIEVE THAT WAS
- Q. Have you met Mr. Thompson?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. How did you meet him?
- 25 A. I met him frequently when I was working

- 1 rents, expenses that were repetitive and critical
- 2 to the running of the system.
- Q. And what did your check signing
- 4 authority grow to entail?
- 5 A. To the best of my recollection, it went
- 6 up to \$5,000.
- 7 Q. What would happen with checks over
- 8 5,000?
- 9 A. They would need to be sent to Ellis for
- 10 his signature. I'd like to make just one point;
- 11 it's either 1,000 or 5,000, through the six or
- seven years I've worked at Metrophone and I've
- had signature level authorities that have changed
- 14 almost monthly. They continue to change today.
- Q. While controller in Atlantic City, did
- 16 you have any work over the bank accounts of Ellis
- 17 Thompson Corporation?
- A. What do you mean by work over the bank
- 19 accounts?
- Q. Did you do any work which related to
- 21 those bank accounts?
- 22 A. I performed the monthly reconciliations
- of the bank accounts, I produced checks, made
- 24 deposits into those bank accounts.
- 25 .Q. Did you keep the books?

ORIGINAL

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
2	WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
3	CC DOCKET NO. 94-136
4 •	X
5	In re Application of :
6	ELLIS THOMPSON : File No.
7	CORPORATION : 14261-CL-P-134-A-86
8	X
9	Washington, D.C.
10	Friday, April 28, 1995
11	Deposition of MARK A. PANETTA, a
12	witness herein, called for examination by counsel
13	for Federal Communications Commission in the
14	above-entitled matter, pursuant to agreement, the
15	witness being duly sworn by JAN A. WILLIAMS, a
16	Notary Public in and for the District of
17	Columbia, taken at the offices of Gurman, Kurtis,
18	Blask & Freedman, Suite 500, 1400 16th Street,
19	N.W., Washington, D.C., 20036, at 10:55 a.m.,
2 0	Friday, April 28, 1995, and the proceedings being
21	taken down by Stenotype by JAN A. WILLIAMS and
22	transcribed under her direction.
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	On behalf of the Wireless Telecommunications
4 .	Bureau of the Federal Communications
5	Commission:
6	JOSEPH PAUL WEBER, ESQ.
7	TERRENCE E. REIDELER, ESQ.
8	The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
9	Federal Communications Commission
10	1919 M Street, N.W., Room 644
11	Washington, D.C. 20554
12	(202) 418-1317
13	
14	On behalf of Ellis Thompson Corporation:
15	R. BRUCE BECKNER, ESQ.
16	Fleischman and Walsh
17	1400 16th Street, N.W.
18	Washington, D.C. 20036.
19	(202) 939-7913
20	
21	•
22	
23	
24	
2.5	•

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	
3	On behalf of American Cellular Network
4 .	Corp.:
5	LOUIS GURMAN, ESQ.
6	Gurman, Kurtis, Blask & Freedman
7	Suite 500
8	1400 16th Street, N.W.
9	Washington, D.C. 20036
10	(202) 328-8200
11	
12	On behalf of Telephone & Data Systems, Inc.:
13	HERBERT D. MILLER, JR., ESQ.
14	Koteen & Naftalin
15	1150 Connecticut Avenue
16	Washington, D.C. 20036
17	(202) 467-5700
18	
19	•
20	•
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTE	N T S
2	THE WITNESS EXAM	INATION BY COUNSEL FOR
3	MARK A. PANETTA F	EDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
4 .		COMMISSION
5	By Mr. Weber	5
6		
7		
8	EXHIB	I T S
9	PANETTA EXHIBIT NO.	PAGE NO.
10	1	19
11	2	2 * 5
12		
13		
14	•	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	•	

(202)289-2260 (800) FOR DEPO 1111 14th ST., N.W., 4th FLOOR / WASHINGTON, D.C., 20005

PROCEEDINGS
Whereupon,
MARK A. PANETTA,
was called as a witness by counsel for Federal
Communications Commission, and having been duly
sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and
testified as follows:
EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
BY MR. WEBER:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Panetta. My name is
Joseph Weber and I represent the Wireless
Telecommunications Bureau of the Federal
Communications Commission.
Could you please state your name for
the record.
A. Mark Panetta.
Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken
before?
A. Yes.
Q. So you do understand the process, I'll
just state again that I would like you to be sure

you answer as fully and as truthfully as

possible. If you don't ever understand a

23

24

25

question I ask, just let me know, I'll rephrase.

- Did you do anything to prepare for
- 2 today's deposition?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. What did you do?
- 5 A. I read some documents that I was either
- 6 copied on or had prepared myself.
- 7 Q. What is your educational background?
- A. I'm a graduate of Mount St. Mary's
- 9 College and I am a Certified Public Accountant.
- 10 Q. What is your current occupation?
- 11 A. I'm a vice-president of finance for
- 12 Comcast Metrophone.
- Q. And how long have you been in that
- 14 position?
- 15 A. Three years.
- Q. Were you employed by Comcast prior to
- 17 being the vice-president of finance?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What was your position at that time?
- 20 A. I had two positions. When I first
- 21 began at Comcast, I was the controller for the
- 22 Atlantic City division and that lasted two
- years.
- Q. And that began in what year?
- 25 A. October of 1988. And after that I held

- the position of controller for the Central New
- 2 Jersey division of Comcast Cellular.
- Q. And how long were you in that position?
- A. Approximately three years.
- 5 Q. Let's go through these one by one.
- 6 When you were controller for the Atlantic City
- 7 division, what were your duties and
- 8 responsibilities?
- 9 A. Maintain the financial records for two
- 10 subsidiaries -- one subsidiary which was Cellular
- 11 Phone of New Jersey which was a subsidiary of
- 12 Comcast Cellular and also I kept the books and
- 13 records of the Ellis Thompson Corporation which
- 14 we had a management agreement with. I also
- 15 handled the collection duties and the inventory.
- 16 management.

- Q. When you were controller for Central
- 18 New Jersey, what were your duties and
- 19 responsibilities?
- 20 A. They were identical, it was just for
- 21 different subsidiaries, different markets than
- 22 Cellular Phone of New Jersey and the Ellis
- 23 Thompson Corporation. The markets that we
- 24 managed in Central New Jersey were the Mercer
- 25 County MSA and New Brunswick and Long Branch and

- 1 Hunterdon County.
- Q. Was Atlantic City also one of those
- 3 markets for the Central New Jersey division?
- 4. A. No.
- 5 Q. So at that point did you do any work
- 6 for the Atlantic City system?
- 7 A. No, with one exception. In the
- 8 transition from being controller in the Atlantic
- 9 City division to the Central New Jersey division,
- 10 I finalized the budget for the following year
- 11 because I had started it and there was maybe a
- week's worth of work left in it. Other than that
- 13 I did nothing for Atlantic City.
- 14 .Q. What are your duties and
- responsibilities in your current position?
- 16 A. I manage the financial accounting
- function, the administrative function, the
- 18 revenue assurance function, collections,
- inventory, that's about it.
- Q. Is any of this work related to the
- 21 Atlantic City system?
- 22 A. One area that you can put down there,
- it's a subset of the financial function, it's
- 24 called intercarrier services. We handle the
- 25 roamer accounting and the roamer receivables and

- 1 payables for all of Comcast, and it's managed
- 2 centrally out of my department. In that respect
- 3 we do manage the roamer accounting for all
- 4 divisions of Comcast Cellular including Atlantic
- 5 City.
- 6 Q. And that would be the only Atlantic
- 7 City related work you do currently, is roamer
- 8 work?
- 9 ⋅A. Yes.
- Q. When you were controller for the
- 11 Atlantic City division, did any employees report
- 12 directly to you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. How many?
- 15 A. The maximum was seven and I started
- 16 with two.
- 17 Q. Who did you report to?
- 18 A. Dennis Curley, he was the general
- 19 manager.
- Q. Was he the general manager of the
- 21 Atlantic City division?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Is there currently an Atlantic City
- 24 division?

\$

A. Yes, there is. It's a bit different,

- though, than the division when I worked at it.
- 2 O. How is it different?
- A. It is mainly a sales division. And the
- 4 functions that I performed there were
- 5 consolidated into the Wilmington office.
- Q. What was your business address when you
- 7 were controller of the Wilmington division?
- A. I was never the controller for the
- 9 Wilmington division.
- 10 Q. I'm sorry, I mean the Atlantic City
- 11 division.
- 12 A. The Bayport One building, I can't
- 13 remember the actual suite.
- 14 Q. That's fine. What city is that in?
- 15 A. That was in West Atlantic City.
- 16 Q. Is there anything there now currently?
- 17 A. In the Bayport building?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. . Why were functions consolidated in
- 21 Wilmington?
- A. It was more efficient to run all
- 23 systems, all systems saved money in the
- 24 consolidation. We were able to reduce the need
- 25 for a full-time controller and reduce the need

- for certain levels of staffing in customer
- 2 service and in finance. So everybody benefited
- 3 by reduced overhead.
- 4. Q. Did other systems consolidate into
- 5 Wilmington as well?
- A. There are other systems run and managed
- 7 by the Wilmington division, but none were
- 8 consolidated into Wilmington.
- 9 Q. Was this consolidation anything that
- would have had to have been run through Ellis
- 11 Thompson?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. To your knowledge did he approve of
- 14 this?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know what he said about it?
- 17 A. I wasn't directly in direct discussions
- 18 with Ellis. My indirect supervisor, Anna
- 19 Hillman, had to receive approval before any
- 20 consolidation was done and I believe the
- 21 president at the time, Joe Grenuk.
- Q. Have you met Mr. Thompson?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. How did you meet him?
- 25 A. I met him frequently when I was working

- at the -- when I was working for the Atlantic
- 2 City division and I see him maybe once a year now
- 3 when he comes into our offices in Wayne, -
- 4. Pennsylvania.
- 5 Q. How often would you say is frequent?
- A. Probably every other month to the best
- 7 of my knowledge.
- 8 Q. He came to New Jersey about every other
- 9 month?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Would you speak with him each time he
- 12 was in town?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 •Q. Has Mr. Thompson ever given you
- 15 instructions?
- A. Could you elaborate on that, what type
- 17 of instructions?
- 18 Q. Did he ever tell you to take any
- 19 particular action?
- 20 A. To the best of my recollection, he
- 21 would. I mean how direct of an action? A lot of
- the business operations were run through David
- 23 Lokting. And David met with Ellis. So the
- 24 day-to-day operations were done through David.
- When Ellis came to visit, a lot of times it was

- 1 just to visit.
- So, when I saw him there, he was
- 3 visiting and he would come in and ask how are
- 4. things going, I would go through some information
- 5 with him, I would explain to him traffic
- 6 patterns, financials. One time he was there for
- 7 the kickoff event and I spent most of the time
- 8 talking to him but mainly not about business,
- 9 that was a social function. So, in terms of
- 10 business operations, a lot of the direction was
- done through David Lokting or with David
- 12 Lokting.
- Q. Who is David Lokting?
- 14 A. David Lokting is Ellis Thompson's
- 15 attorney.
- 16 Q. How do you know this?
- 17 A. Through working with David and Ellis.
- Q. Do you recall how you met Mr. Lokting?
- 19 A. The first time Ellis and David visited
- the Atlantic City operation when I was employed
- 21 there.
- Q. Has Mr. Thompson ever contacted you
- 23 directly?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Has Mr. Lokting?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And how often would you say that
- 3 happened?
- A. During my employment as controller of
- 5 Atlantic City, to the best of my recollection,
- 6 once a month.
- 7 Q. Would you characterize your contacts
- 8 with Mr. Lokting to be more Mr. Lokting wanting
- 9 to gather information or Mr. Lokting more giving
- 10 you instructions?
- 11 A. Both.
- 12 O. What kind of instructions would
- 13 Mr. Lokting give you?
- 14 A. Procedural instructions, where to send
- 15 checks, information that was required to support
- 16 expenditures that him and Ellis had questions on
- and needed more information, questions regarding
- 18 financial statements, if he didn't understand
- 19 things that were happening in the financials or
- 20 needed explanations about the analysis that we
- 21 sent, a lot of those types of inquiries.
- Q. Did you ever attend the quarterly
- 23 meetings for the Atlantic City system?
- A. During my employment, no, I don't
- 25 believe we had very many. And, if we did, I

- wasn't a part of it.
- Q. Have you had any discussions with Anna
- 3 Hillman about the Atlantic City system? -
- 4. A. Currently, during my employment?
- 5 Q. During your employment.
- A. Yes, daily.
- 7 Q. When you started as controller of the
- 8 Atlantic City system, was the system operational?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Do you know where it was in the process
- 11 of construction?
- 12 A. It hadn't even started.
- O. Who hired you?
- 14 A. Two people, Dennis Curley and Anna
- 15 Hillman.
- Q. Did either one of them discuss the
- ownership of the Atlantic City system with you?
- 18 A. I'm confused. Do you mean the
- 19 ownership of their subsidiary?
- Q. Did either one of them describe -- I'll
- 21 ask it a different way.
- 22 Did either one of them describe
- 23 Comcast's role in the Atlantic City system?
- 24 A. In the Ellis Thompson Corporation,
- yes. And, in the role of Cellular Phone of New